Index

\succ , 20 \succsim , 74 \approx , 42 $n!$, 133 $\binom{n}{k}$, 137 Acton, Lord, 127 Adams, John Quincy, 200 Adams' apportionment method, 200 Agenda, 43 Alabama paradox, 203 American Mathematical Society, 83 American Statistical Association, 83 Anonymity in an election with more than two candidates, 23 in an election with two candidates, 3 Apportionment, 192 methods Adams', 200 Condorcet's, 208	strategy, 105 Arithmetic mean, 205 Arrow, Kenneth, 60 Arrow's conditions, 63 Arrow's Theorem, 64 proof of, 74–81 strong form, 66, 75 Associated Press college football poll in 1971, 19 in 1994, 19 in 2004, 28 Australian House of Representatives, 45 Balinski, Michel, 207 Balinski and Young's Theorem, 207 Banzhaf III, John F., 128 Banzhaf index, 129 Banzhaf power, 129 percentages in the 2016 Electoral College, 158 Banzhaf total power, 129 Beedham, Brian, 170 Binary preference matrix, 175
- ,	*
	•
,	Balinski, Michel, 207
	9
,	,
,	
	_ :
,	
d'Hondt's, 208	symmetric, 176
equal proportions, 208	Bitwise complement, 176
greatest divisors, 208	Black, Duncan, 55
Hamilton's, 194	Black's voting system, 55
Hill's, 205	Board of Supervisors, Nassau County,
Hill-Huntington, 208	New York
Jefferson's, 197	in 1965, 130
largest remainders, 208	in 1994, 130
major fractions, 208	Borda, Jean-Charles de, 19
smallest divisors, 208	Borda count, 19, 22
Vinton's, 202	Brams, Steven, 88
Webster's, 201	Browne, Harry, 152
Webster-Willcox, 208	Bullet voting, 97
Approval voting, 82, 84	Burr, Aaron, 166

Burying, 97	Condorcet's apportionment method,
Bush, George W., 16, 150	208
	Condorcet's paradox, 42
California	Congressional districts
proposal to assess a new tax or fee, 6	Illinois' 4th, 222
California gubernatorial recall election	in Maryland, 216
in 2003, 27	in North Carolina, 215
Canada	in Pennsylvania, 216
population distribution in 2016, 121	Considerations on Representative
procedure to amend Constitu-	Government, 45
tion, 121	Constitution, U.S.
Chirac, Jacques, 17	14th Amendment, 218, 220
Choice function, 98	23rd Amendment, 154
dictatorial, 99	Article 1, Section 1, 193
extending to a voting system, 100	Article 1, Section 2, 193
manipulable, 98	Contiguity, 220
monotone, 100	Contingent ballot, 184
non-imposed, 99	Conventional rounding, 191
Churchill, Winston, 73	Convexity coefficient, 230
Citizen sovereignty, 63	Cooper v. Harris, 218
Citizens of voting age population	Counterfactuals, 226
estimates by state in 2016, 161	Cracking, 216, 217
CLC, 38	Critical voter, 129
Clinton, Hillary, 15, 149	Cruz, Ted, 36
Coaches' college football poll in 2014, 28	CWC, 38
Coalition, 113	Cyclic societal preferences, 62
losing, 113	• ,
minimal winning, 113	d'Hondt's apportionment method, 208
winning, 113	Davis v. Bandemer, 224
Coastline paradox, 231	Davis, Gray, 27
Coleman, Norm, 36	Dichotomous preferences, 105
College football poll	Dictator
Associated Press	for a choice function, 99
in 1971, 19	in a weighted voting system, 115
in 1994, 19	in an election with more than
in 2004, 28	two candidates, 63
Coaches' in 2014, 28	in an election with two candi-
Combinatorics, 137	dates, 2
Communities of interest, 220	Dictatorship, 2
Compactness, 220	Direct democracy, 170
laws in Idaho, 220	Divisor apportionment method, 197
laws in Iowa, 221	Dummy, 115
laws in Michigan, 221	
length-width, 221	Efficiency gap, 227
perimeter, 221	Elector, 151
Compromising	Electoral College, 112, 151
as a strategic choice, 96	Banzhaf power percentages in
Condorcet, the Marquis de, 37, 208	2016, 158
Condorcet completion system, 68	Electoral vote totals
Condorcet loser, 38	by candidate
criterion, 38	in 2000, 165
Condorcet winner, 37	in 2004, 165
criterion, 38	in 2016, 164

by state	Illinois
in 2000, 155	4th congressional district, 222
in 2016, 155	Imposed rule, 2
Elgot, C. C., 121	Independence of irrelevant alterna-
Equal proportions apportionment	tives, 57
method, 208	India, president of, 45
	Instant runoff, 45
Factorial, 133	Institute for Operations Research
FairVote, 45, 49	and Management Science, 83
Florida	Institute of Electrical and Electronics
presidential election in 2000, 16, 150,	Engineers, 83
152	Intensity of binary independence, 86
vote totals, 16, 152	Intensity of voters' preferences, 86
France presidential election	Iowa
in 2002, 17	compactness laws, 221
in 2017, 36	Ireland, president of, 45
Function, 61	Isomorphic, 114
function, 62, 98	Iterative voting, 184
Geometric mean, 205	Jefferson, Thomas, 195
Gerry, Elbridge, 218	Jefferson's apportionment method, 197
Gerrymandering, 218	Johnson, Gary, 16, 56, 149
partisan, 219	Jospin, Lionel, 17
racial, 218	• , ,
Gibbard, Allan, 98	Kasich, John, 36
Gibbard-Satterthwaite Theorem, 99	Kennedy, Anthony, 226
proof of, 99–105	Kerry, John, 26, 165
Gill v. Whitford, 219, 227	I D 170
Goldilocks rule, 223	Lacy, Dean, 170
Gore, Al, 16, 150	Largest remainders apportionment
Greatest divisors apportionment me-	method, 208
thod, 208	Le Pen, Jean-Marie, 17
Gubernatorial election	Le Pen, Marine, 37
California recall in 2003, 27	Lemma, 76
Minnesota in 1998, 36	Lordon, mayor of, 45
Gutiérrez, Luis, 223	Losing coalition, 113 LULAC v. Perry, 226
Hamilton, Alexander, 166, 193	LULAC V. Ferry, 220
	Macron, Emmanuel, 37
Hamilton's apportionment method, 194	Major fractions apportionment me-
Hare, Thomas, 45	thod, 208
Harvey, Paul, 42	Majority, 15
Hayes, Rutherford B., 202	criterion, 19, 22
Hill, Joseph, 205	rule
Hill's apportionment method, 205	in an election with more than two
Hill-Huntington apportionment me-	candidates, 17
thod, 208	in an election with two candi-
Humphrey, Skip, 36	dates, 5
Huntington, Edward, 205	Manipulation
	of approval voting, 105
IBI, 86	of choice functions, 98
Idaho	of instant runoff, 96
compactness laws, 220	Maryland
IIA, 57	congressional districts, 216

35	. 1004 100
Matrix, 175	in 1994, 130
May, Kenneth, 5	New-states paradox, 205
May's Theorem, 5	Niou, Emerson, 170
proof of, 7–10	Nobel Prize in economic science in
McGhee, Eric, 227	1972, 60
Mean	Non-imposed
arithmetic, 205	choice function, 99
geometric, 205	North Carolina
Michigan	congressional districts, 215
compactness laws, 221	Ol D 1 159 015
Michigan, presidential election in	Obama, Barack, 153, 215
2016, 16	Packing, 216, 217
Mill, John Stuart, 45	0, ,
Minimal winning coalition, 113	Pairwise comparisons voting system, 69
Minneapolis, mayor of, 45	Pareto, Vilfredo, 65
Minnesota, gubernatorial election in	Pareto condition, 66
1998, 36	modified, 82
Minority rule, 3	Partisan bias, 226
Mischief voting, 97	Partisan symmetry, 224
Modified Pareto condition, 82	Pascal, Blaise, 138
Monotonicity	Pascal's triangle, 138
for choice functions, 100	Pennsylvania
in an election with more than	congressional districts, 216
two candidates, 24	Perot, H. Ross, 153
in an election with two candi-	Pivotal voter
dates, 4	in a weighted voting system, 131
Most Valuable Player, National	in the proof of Arrow's Theorem, 77
League, 29	Plurality, 18
vote totals in 2015, 29	Population estimates by U.S. state in
vote totals in 2016, 29	1790, 194
Motion, 112	Population paradox, 204
	Power index, 128
Nader, Ralph, 16, 56, 152	Power indices
Nassau County, New York, Board of	Banzhaf, 129
Supervisors	Shapley-Shubik, 132
in 1965, 130	Preference ballot, 20
in 1994, 130	Preference list, 20
National Academy of Sciences, 83, 206	Preference order, 20
National League Most Valuable Play-	Preference schedule, 21
er, 29	Preferences
vote totals in 2015, 29	dichotomous, 105
vote totals in 2016, 29	Presidential election
Neutrality	France in 2002, 17
in an election with more than	France in 2017, 36
two candidates, 23	U.S. in 1800, 152
in an election with two candi-	U.S. in 1824, 152
dates, 3	U.S. in 1876, 202
New Hampshire, presidential election in	U.S. in 1992, 153
2016, 15	U.S. in 2000, 56
vote totals, 16	in Florida, 16, 150, 152
New York, Nassau County Board of	vote totals by candidate, 16
Supervisors	vote totals in Florida, 16, 152
in 1965, 130	U.S. in 2016, 56

in Michigan, 16 in New Hampshire, 15 Republican primaries, 18, 36 vote totals by candidate, 16, 150 vote totals by state, 160 vote totals in New Hampshire, 16 vote totals in Republican primaries, 18 Proportional representation, 223 Pushover voting, 97	Spoiler candidate, 17, 56 Stalin, Joseph, 149 Standard divisor, 197 Standard quota, 193 Stein, Jill, 20, 56, 149 Stephanopolous, Nicholas, 227 Stickeyville mayoral election, 1, 95 Strategic voting, 96 Strong form of Arrow's Theorem, 66, 75 Swap, 118
Quota	robust, 118
in a weighted voting system, 113 in an election with two candi- dates, 6 rule, 200 system, 6	Symmetric binary preference matrix, 176 Symmetry partisan, 224
	Taylor, Alan, 121
Redistricting, 214	Tilden, Samuel, 202
Referendum election, 170	Total power
Regan, Donald, 127	Banzhaf, 129
Republican primaries, U.S. presidential election in 2016, 18, 36	Shapley-Shubik, 132
vote totals, 18	Trade, 120 robust, 120
Roosevelt, Franklin D., 206	Transitivity, 61
Rounding, conventional, 191	Trump, Donald, 15, 36, 149, 232
Rubio, Marco, 36	Twain, Mark, 1
Saari, Donald, 85 San Francisco, mayor of, 45 Sanders, Bernie, 27 Satterthwaite, Mark, 98 Schwarzenegger, Arnold, 27 Seats-votes curves, 231 Secretary-General of the United Nations, 83 Separability problem, 173 Separable preferences of a voter, 173 proposals with respect to a voter, 173 Sequential pairwise voting, 41 Sequential voting in a referendum election, 181	U.S. citizens of voting age population estimates by state in 2016, 161 U.S. Constitution 14th Amendment, 218, 220 23rd Amendment, 154 Article 1, Section 1, 193 Article 1, Section 2, 193 U.S. population estimates by state in 1790, 194 U.S. presidential election in 1800, 152 in 1824, 152 in 1876, 202 in 1992, 153 in 2000, 56
Set-wise voting, 181	in Florida, 16, 150, 152
Shapley, Lloyd, 131	vote totals by candidate, 16
Shapley-Shubik index, 132	vote totals in Florida, 16, 152
Shapley-Shubik power, 132	in 2016, 56
Shapley-Shubik total power, 132	in Michigan, 16
Shubik, Martin, 131 Single transferable vote, 45	in New Hampshire, 15
Smallest divisors apportionment me-	Republican primaries, 18, 36 vote totals by candidate, 16, 150
thod, 208	YOU UUGG DY CAHUIUGIE, IU, IUU
Societal preference order, 21	vote totals by state, 160 vote totals in New Hampshire, 16

vote totals in Republican primaries, 18 Unanimity, 66
Uniform partisan swing, 225 Union, 178
United Nations Secretary-General, 83 Security Council, 116, 143
Universality, 63
Ventura, Jesse, 36
Veto power, 115
Vinton, Samuel, 202
Vinton's apportionment method, 202
Voting Rights Act, 220, 223
Voting system, 3, 62 quota, 6
weighted, 112
yes/no, 112
Voting systems
approval voting, 82, 84
Black's, 55
Borda count, 19, 22
dictatorship, 2
Electoral College, 112, 151
imposed rule, 2
instant runoff, 45 iterative voting, 184
majority rule, 5, 17
minority rule, 3
pairwise comparisons, 69
plurality, 18
quota, 6
sequential pairwise voting, 41
sequential voting, 181
set-wise voting, 181
single transferable vote, 45
weighted, 112 yes/no, 112
yes/110, 112
Washington, George, 193
Webster, Daniel, 200
Webster's apportionment method, 201
Webster-Willcox apportionment method, 208
Weight
of a coalition, 114
of a voter, 112
Weighted voting system, 112
Willcox, Walter, 205
Wilson's Theorem, 82
Wilson, Robert, 82
Winner's bonus, 224

Winner-take-all rule, 152Winning coalition, 113minimal, 113 Yes/no voting system, 112Young, Peyton, 207

Zwicker, William, 121